

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully traverses and requests reconsideration.

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the notice that claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 10, 12-14, 16, 18-22, 24, 26, 27 and 29 are allowed.

Claim 28 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as allegedly failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Applicant has amended the claim to indicate that the remote connection system comprises the remote device. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection.

Claim 25 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Wu in view of Young. Claim 25 has been amended to include similar limitations as to claim 1. For example, the power supply input receiver is operable coupleable to a non-bus power source and the transmitter is capable of generating a wakeup command in response to the wireless command is also capable of providing the wakeup command through an input/output interface to a remote processing unit. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that for the reasons provided in the previous response, the claim is in condition for allowance.

Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. The Examiner is invited to contact the below listed attorney if the Examiner believes that a telephone conference will advance the prosecution of this application.

Date: November 24, 2010

Vedder Price P.C.
222 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60601
phone: (312) 609-7599
fax: (312) 609-5005

Respectfully submitted,
By: /Christopher J. Reckamp/
Christopher J. Reckamp
Registration No. 34,414